# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Fan Chen,<sup>a</sup>\* Zhi-Min Jin<sup>b</sup> and Mao-Lin Hu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry and Materials Science, Wenzhou Normal College, Wenzhou 325027, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: fanchen@wznc.zj.cn

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 273 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.008 Å Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.040 wR factor = 0.102 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# (*R*)-(+)-(1-Hydroxy-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)trimethylammonium bromide sesquihydrate

In the title compound,  $C_{12}H_{20}NO^+ \cdot Br^- \cdot 1.5H_2O$ , two crystallographically independent quaternary ammonium cations are associated by three very short  $C-H\cdots\pi$  interactions with distances between the H atoms and the centroids of the benzene rings of 2.787 (8), 2.878 (7) and 2.932 (8) Å. A onedimensional hydrogen-bonded spiral is formed along the *b* axis by  $O-H\cdots$ Br hydrogen bonds. Neighboring spirals are interrelated by translation and held together mainly by hydrogen bonds and  $O-H\cdots O$  interactions.  $C-H\cdots O$  and  $C-H\cdots$ Br hydrogen bonds and  $C-H\cdots\pi$  interactions play an important role in stabilizing the structure.

### Comment

Nishio and co-workers first pointed out the nature of C– H··· $\pi$  interactions (Kodama *et al.*, 1976). Over the last two decades, the role of this interaction in crystal packing and molecular recognition has been constantly investigated by X-ray diffraction (Kodama *et al.*, 1976; Vyas *et al.*, 1988; Quicho *et al.*, 1989; Tsuzuki *et al.*, 1999; Kim *et al.*, 2000; Novoa & Mota, 2000; Tsuzuki *et al.*, 2000). We have synthesized the title compound, (I), which contains three short C–H··· $\pi$ interactions, and report the structure here.



The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of two (R)-(+)-(1hydroxy-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)trimethylammonium cations, two bromide anions and three water molecules (Fig. 1). The benzene rings of the cations make a dihedral angle of 86.9 (3)°. There are three C-H··· $\pi$  interactions involving the cations (Table 2).

Water molecule O3 links O4 and O5 *via* O3–H3A···O4 and O3–H3B···O5 hydrogen bonds, and they, in turn, link with the anions *via* O5–H5A···Br2 and O4–H4"A···Br1<sup>ii</sup> (symmetry code as in Table 2), forming a hydrogen-bonded spiral (Fig. 2 and Table 2). The screw-pitch of the spiral is equal to the length of the *b* axis. Neighboring spirals are connected mainly by hydrogen bonds of types O4– H4B···O5<sup>i</sup> and O5–H5B···O4<sup>iii</sup> (symmetry codes as in

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 11 November 2004 Accepted 30 November 2004 Online 11 December 2004

 $D_x = 1.340 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation Cell parameters from 1820

4850 independent reflections 3769 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

Flack parameter = 0.018 (12)

reflections  $\theta=5.2{-}51.7^\circ$  $\mu = 2.75 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 273 (2) KRod, colorless  $0.29 \times 0.26 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.041$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.2^{\circ}$ 

 $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$ 

 $k = -8 \rightarrow 8$ 

 $l = -19 \rightarrow 17$ 



# Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I) with the atom labels, showing 40% probability displacement ellipsoids. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.



#### Figure 2

Two hydrogen-bonded spirals viewed along the b axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines, and a hash (#) indicates symmetry code (1 - x, x) $y + \frac{1}{2}, 1 - z$ ).

Table 2) to establish the whole crystal structure.  $C-H \cdots O$ and C-H···Br hydrogen bonds (Table 2) and C-H··· $\pi$ interactions play an important role in stabilizing the structure.  $C24-H24C\cdots O2$  is the shortest of its type.

# **Experimental**

Treatment of l-phenylalaninol, prepared by LiAlH<sub>4</sub> reduction of Nethyl-l-phenylalanine in 80% yield, with formaldehyde and formic acid, according to a procedure reported by Tseng et al. (1977), gave N,N-dimethyl-L-phenylalaninol in 88% yield. N,N-Dimethyl-Lphenylalaninol (10 mmol, 1.67 g) in acetonitrile (100 ml) with MeBr (15 mmol, 1.42 g) gave (I) (7.7 mmol, 2.02 g) in 77% yield. Recrystallization of the synthesized product from water, at 293 K, gave single crystals of (I) (m.p. 469–471 K);  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +8.80$  (c = 1.0, CH<sub>3</sub>OH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, p.p.m.): 2.86 (*dd*, *J* = 8.5, 13.4 Hz, 1H, 3H), 3.02 (dd, J = 5.3, 13.4 Hz, 1H, 3H), 3.20 [s, 9H, N(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 3.25-3.33 (m, 1H, 2H), 3.62 (dd, J = 7.2, -10.6 Hz, 1H, 1H), 3.98 (dd, J = 4.0, -10.6 Hz, 1H, 1H), 7.30–7.39 (*m*, 5H, PhH); IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3320, 3021, 2942, 2890, 1643, 1483, 1255, 1091, 1049, 959, 754, 703.

#### Crystal data

$C_{12}H_{20}NO^{+}\cdot Br^{-}\cdot 1.5H_{2}O$
$M_r = 301.225$
Monoclinic, P2 <sub>1</sub>
a = 13.2146 (17)  Å
b = 6.9739 (9) Å
c = 16.210 (2) Å
$\beta = 91.490 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$V = 1493.3 (3) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX areadetector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000)  $T_{\min} = 0.471, T_{\max} = 0.547$ 7855 measured reflections

# Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0496P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	+ 0.07P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.102$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.99	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
4850 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.62 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
305 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
	1925 Friedel pairs

#### Table 1 Selected bond lengths (Å).

O1-C9	1.399 (6)	C1-C7	1.495 (7)
N1-C11	1.483 (6)	C3-C4	1.358 (8)
N1-C10	1.494 (7)	C4-C5	1.382 (8)
N1-C12	1.507 (6)	C5-C6	1.374 (9)
N1-C8	1.542 (7)	C7-C8	1.532 (7)
C1-C2	1.376 (7)	C8-C9	1.516 (7)
C1-C6	1.385 (6)		

## Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O1−H1···Br1	0.82	2.65	3.226 (4)	128
$O2 - H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot Br2$	0.82	2.44	3.231 (5)	162
$O3 - H3A \cdots O4$	0.93	1.91	2.829 (6)	172
$O3 - H3B \cdots O5$	0.86	1.91	2.770 (7)	172
$O4 - H4B \cdots O5^{i}$	0.94	2.15	2.830 (6)	129
$O4 - H4''A \cdots Br1^{ii}$	1.18	2.13	3.270 (4)	161
$O5 - H5A \cdots Br2$	0.98	2.72	3.343 (4)	122
$O5 - H5B \cdots O4^{iii}$	0.92	1.94	2.830 (6)	161
$C7 - H7B \cdots O1$	0.97	2.48	2.868 (6)	104
$C10-H10A\cdots O1$	0.96	2.47	3.083 (8)	122
$C12 - H12C \cdot \cdot \cdot Br2$	0.96	2.94	3.779 (6)	146
$C12 - H12A \cdots Br2^{iv}$	0.96	2.91	3.840 (7)	163
$C16-H16\cdots O1^{v}$	0.93	2.56	3.313 (8)	138
C19−H19 <i>B</i> ···O2	0.97	2.57	2.970 (7)	105
$C22 - H22A \cdots Br1^{vi}$	0.96	2.93	3.844 (7)	160
$C24 - H24C \cdots O2$	0.96	2.32	3.037 (8)	131

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y - 1, z; (ii)  $1 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - z$ ; (iii) x, 1 + y, z; (iv)  $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$ ; (v)  $2 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - z$ ; (vi) x, 1 + y, z - 1.

Water H atoms were located by difference Fourier methods and refined with isotropic displacement parameters 1.2 times  $U_{eq}$  of the parent atoms. Other H atoms were placed in calculated positions and allowed to ride on their parent atoms at distances of 0.82-0.97 Å, with isotropic displacement parameters 1.2–1.5 times  $U_{eq}$  of the parent atoms. The disordered H atom attached to O4 was split over two sites (H4'A and H4''A) with occupancies of 0.5. The other H atom of the water molecules was ordered and was treated normally.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

This work was supported by the Wenzhou Technology Project Foundation of China (Nos. S2002A003 and S2004A004), the Education Commission of Zhejiang Province, People's Republic of China (grant No. 20020268) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. B010503).

### References

- Bruker (2000). SMART (Version 5.618), SADABS (Version 2.03), SAINT (Version 6.02) and SHELXTL (Version 6.10). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Kim, K. S., Tarakeshwar, P. & Lee, J. Y. (2000). Chem. Rev. 100, 4145-4186.
- Kodama, Y., Nishihata, K., Nishio, M. & Iitaka, Y. (1976). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1490–1494.
- Novoa, J. & Mota, F. (2000). Chem. Phys. Lett. 318, 345-354.
- Quicho, F. A., Wilson, D. K. & Vyas, N. K. (1989). Nature (London), 340, 404– 406.
- Tseng, C. C., Terashima, S. & Yamada, S. (1977). *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 25, 29–34.
  Tsuzuki, S., Honda, K., Uchimaru, T., Mikami, M. & Tanabe, K. (1999). *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 103, 8265–8271.
- Tsuzuki, S., Honda, K., Uchimaru, T., Mikami, M. & Tanabe, K. J. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 3746–3753.
- Vyas, N. K., Vyas, M. N. & Quicho, F. A. (1988). Science, 242, 1290–1293.